Please take a few minutes to fill out the following questionnaire. It will help the future NTCIR workshops.

Please answer in English or Japanese and return the completed form to the registration desk.

---

---

### Questions for all participants (from Q1 to Q11) ---

**Q1. How did you know about NTCIR?**

- a. NTCIR Website
- b. Mailing list [name: ]
- c. Conference [name: ]
- d. Journal [name: ]
- e. Other [please specify: ]

**Q2. Meeting schedule (4 days including Tutorial and EVIA workshop)**

- a. Good
- b. Too long
- c. Too short

Comments:

**Q3. Venue (e.g., Layout of rooms)**

- a. Good
- b. Can be improved (How: )

Comments:

**Q4. Time table**

- a. Good
- b. Can be improved (How: )

Comments:

**Q5. Time schedule for oral/poster presentations**

- a. Good
- b. Too long
- c. Too short

Comments:

**Q6. Tutorials**

- a. Good
- b. Can be improved (How: )
- c. Not participate

Comments:

**Q7. EVIA: The First International Workshop on Evaluating Information Access**

- a. Good
- b. Can be improved (How: )
- c. Not participate

Comments:

**Q8. Invited talks**

- a. Good
- b. Can be improved (How: )

Comments (including your recommending speakers for the next meeting)

**Q9. Equipments for presentations**

- a. Good
- b. Can be improved (How: )

Comments:

**Q10. Next NTCIR-7 Schedule**

A) Cycle:  
- a. one and a half year  
- b. one year  
- c. two years  
- d. others ( )

B) Date:  
- a. December 2008  
- b. January 2009  
- c. others ( )

C) Location:  
- a. NII, Tokyo  
- b. somewhere else (where: Country: )

**Q11. Other comments**

*If you are a task participant, please continue to the reverse side of this form.*
Please give your comments on the process of the NTCIR Project, not only on the meeting.

Q12. How did you know about Call for Participation to the task(s)?
   a. NTCIR Website
   b. Mailing list [name: ]
   c. Conference [name: ]
   d. Journal [name: ]
   e. Other [please specify: ]

※From Q13 to Q18, please choose among your task(s). If you choose “Can be improved,” please fill out the comments.

Q13. Methods for distributing data
   a. Good (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   b. Can be improved (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)

Comments:

Q14. Task design
   a. Good (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   b. Can be improved (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)

Comments:

Q15. Announcement
   a. Good (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   b. Can be improved (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)

Comments:

Q16. Evaluation measure/method
   a. Good (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   b. Can be improved (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)

Comments:

Q17. Task schedule
   a. Good (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   b. Can be improved (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)

Comments:

Q18. Sharing raw submission data (submission results from other participants) in NTCIR-6
   a. Good (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   b. Can be improved (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)

Comments:

Q19. Did you use raw submission data for post submission analysis?
   a. Yes (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   b. No (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)
   c. Plan to use in future work (CLIR, CLQA, PATENT, QAC, Opinion, MuST)

Comments:

Q20. Travel support
   a. Good
   b. Can be improved (How: )

Comments:

Q21. Task proposal schedule for NTCIR-7
   a. Good
   b. Can be improved (How: )

Comments:

Q22. Other comments (If you can afford, please write up your name and contact address here.)

Thank you very much.