WIS @ the NTCIR-12 Temporalia-2 Tasks

Yue Zhao and Claudia Hauff

Web Information Systems Group, TU Delft

{Y.Zhao-1, C.Hauff}@tudelft.nl

Subtasks:

Temporal Intent Disambiguation (TID).

Keywords:

Temporal Intent, Query Intent Disambiguation, Time-series data, Wikipedia

Abstract:

Our approach focuses on the question of whether temporal signals, extracted from publicly available, external data sources (in this case the **Wikipedia page view** stream), as features in a machine learning setup are beneficial for this task.

Intuitions:

Time-series data of queries on Google Trend is a good indicator to show how users' interests of queries change over time. However, the disadvantage is following:

Methods:

Features are extracted from query content, temporal expressions and time-series data of Wikipedia page views of best-match concepts

- No absolute frequencies are available
- It is unknown what data pre-processing & cleaning steps occurred
- The aggregations occur at a month-by-month level



	Query Content Features			
F1 F2 F3	Lemmas Named Entities Verb Tense: Uppermost Verb Tense (<i>UVB_tense</i>) and Verb Tense with Lemma (<i>tense_lemma</i>)			
	Temporal Expression Features			
F4 F5	ref_{past} : number of TEs referring to past times with respect to the query issue time ref_{future} : number of TEs referring to future times with respect to the query issue time $same_Y$: number of TEs referring to the same year as the query issue time $same_YM$: number of TEs referring to the same year & month as the query issue time $same_YMD$: number of TEs referring to the same year & month & day as the query issue time $lemY_{past}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to past years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{future}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to future years with respect to the query issue time time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$: number of numerical lemmas referring to same years with respect to the query issue time $lemY_{same}$.			
	Time-Series Features			
F6	Sparsity: indicates whether time-series data exists or not, and whether time-series data is sparse or not			
$\mathbf{F7}$	Seasonality: represented by the cosine similarity between the time-series data itself and its sea- sonal component generated through the Holt-Winter decomposition			
$\mathbf{F8}$	Autocorrelation: measures the periodicity of the time-series data by comparing the past 12 months of data to the same time period a year earlier			
F9	$\{ref_{view_D}, ref_{view_MD}\}$: difference between the query issue month (month/day combination) and the month (month/day combination) the concept had the most pageviews in our Wikipedia pageview traces			
F10	The $MEAN$, standard deviation (STD) and $MEDIAN$ of the concept's time-series data are also computed			

Mapping queries to Wiki concepts: Only the bestmatch concept is leveraged as we consider it to be the best representative of the entire query.

Probabilistic classification: Query with 4 temporal intents (P=x1, R=x2, F=x3, A=x4) is transformed into 100 sample with single intent setting: $10 \times x_i$ samples for intent *i*

Runs & Results:

1. The 3 runs submitted by WIS group:

- WIS-TID-E-1: 227 query-content features, PCA with 50 components, Ridge regressor.
- WIS-TID-E-2: query-content features + time-series features, PCA with 50 components, Ridge regressor.
- WIS-TID-E-3: 227 query-content features, PCA with 100 components, SVM with RBF kernels.

2. Results overview of our submitted runs according to the official evaluation metrics.

			I	Per-Class	Absolute	Error
Runs	Cos Sim	MAE	Past	Recency	Future	A temporal
WIS-TID-E-1	0.792	0.215	0.211	0.154	0.204	0.291
WIS-TID-E-2	0.773	0.219	0.205	0.159	0.206	0.306
WIS-TID-E-3	0.791	0.197	0.151	0.146	0.204	0.288

Results Analysis:

1. What is the effects of features in 3 runs?

Ablation study of our submitted runs according to the official evaluation metrics.

MAE	WIS-TID-E-1	WIS-TID-E-2	WIS-TID-E-3
Baseline	0.215	0.219	0.197
- Lemma&NN	+0.0128	+0.0034	+0.0275
- <i>TE</i>	+0.0075	+0.0053	+0.0119
- Verb	+0.0052	-0.0007	-0.0103
- Wiki&Type	—	-0.0036	_
- Sparsity	—	-0.0043	_
- Season	_	-0.0011	_
- $AutoCor$	_	+0.0003	_
- Ref	_	-0.0002	_
- Stats	_	+0.0003	—
Cos Sim	WIS-TID-E-1	WIS-TID-E-2	WIS-TID-E-3
Baseline	0.792	0.773	0.791
- Lemma&NN	-0.0437	-0.0085	-0.0614
- <i>TE</i>	-0.0208	-0.0186	-0.0314
- Verb	-0.0118	+0.0016	+0.0252
- Wiki&Tupe	_	+0.0148	_
ff			
- Sparsity	_	+0.0147	_
- Sparsity - Season	_	+0.0147 +0.0048	_
- Sparsity - Season - AutoCor		+0.0147 +0.0048 -0.0003	_ _ _
- Sparsity - Season - AutoCor - Ref	 	+0.0147 +0.0048 -0.0003 +0.0006	_ _ _

 MAE and Cos Sim show similar trends in each feature. 2. What is the impact of temporal features generated from Wikipedia page views?



- Time-series features reduce the impact of content features
- Features of verb tense play different roles in different models.

3. What is the impact of predictor choice (regressor v.s. classifier) ?



