UNIVERSITY

RMIT AT THE NTCIR-13 WE WANT WEB TASK

Luke Gallagher, Joel Mackenzie, Rodger Benham, Ruey-Cheng Chen, Falk Scholer and J. Shane Culpepper

1. INTRODUCTION

- O RMIT submitted four systems for the NTCIR-13 We Want Web English subtask. For this round we focused on improving effectiveness via classic retrieval techniques:
 - Markov Random Field Models (MRFs)
 - Field-based weighting (body, title, anchor)
 - Query Expansion
 - Static document features.
- For these tasks we made extensive use of the Indri search system and the flexible query language it provides.

5. OVERALL RESULTS DISCUSSION

- Use of document fields resulted in improved effectiveness that is commonly employed on large web collections.
- It can be seen that **RMIT-1** significantly outperforms the other submissions for NDCG@10 and RBP (also NDCG@20 not shown).
- Spam filtering was not applied to **RMIT-1**.
- **RMIT-2** performance did not align with our expectations static document features such as PageRank and Spam are known to improve effectiveness on ClueWeb collections.

2. Systems

- 1. **RMIT-1:** A field-based Sequential Dependence Model with RM3 Query Expansion
- 2. **RMIT-2:** Linear combination of **RMIT-1** + $0.25 \times$ PageRank priors
- 3. **RMIT-3:** Full Dependence Model + RM3 Query Expansion
- 4. **RMIT-4:** n-gram fields + RM3 Query Expansion

All system configurations made use of Query Expansion and Term Dependency Models. Identical smoothing parameters were used for all systems, with $\mu = 2000$ and $\mu_{prox} = 2000$. Post-retrieval spam filtering was applied to systems RMIT-2, RMIT-3, and RMIT-4. Documents with a spam score less than 70 were removed from retrieved results.

3. RESULTS										
	ERR@k		NDC	G@k	RBP@p					
System	@5	@10	@5	@10	@0.9					
RMIT-3	0.5065	0.5207	0.3977	0.3968	0.7670+0.0242					
RMIT-2	0.5285	0.5378	0.4186	0.4069	0.7533 + 0.0228					
RMIT-4	0.5635	0.5728	0.4402	0.4249	0.7422 + 0.0270					
RMIT-1	0.5548	0.5712	0.4670	0.4783 [‡]	0.8438+0.0221 [‡]					
Post-hoc a	analysis or	f submissi	ons							
BM25	0.4760	0.4879	0.3718	0.3713	0.6509+0.1919 [‡]					
R3-NQE	0.4955	0.5096	0.3884	0.3879	0.7560 + 0.0348					
R2-NQE	0.5279	0.5403	0.4161	0.4125	0.7537 + 0.0408					
R4-NQE	0.5533	0.5637	0.4276	0.4071	0.7238+0.0456					
RBC-14	0.5819	0.5951	0.4817 [‡]	0.4776^{\ddagger}	0.8263+0.0025 [‡]					
R1-NQE	0.5743	0.5884	0.4723^{\dagger}	0.4877 [‡]	0.8220+0.0453 [‡]					

6. NAVIGATIONAL QUERIES



Comparison of ERR for **RMIT-1** and **RMIT-4** across the topics that were identified as navigational.

4. POST-HOC BM25 ANALYSIS



7. QUERY EXPANSION ANALYSIS

NDCG@10

System A	System B	Win	Tie	Loss	\sum Win	\sum Loss	$\frac{\sum \text{Win}}{\sum \text{Loss}}$
R1-NQE	RMIT-1	32	39	29	16.033	15.391	1.042
R2-NQE	RMIT-2	29	45	26	12.957	11.518	1.125
R3-NQE	RMIT-3 [†]	11	70	19	4.943	6.909	0.715
R4-NQE	RMIT-4 [‡]	12	58	30	4.883	13.655	0.358

- **RMIT-1** shows improved effectiveness when Query Expansion is not used across both ERR and NDCG.
- For NDCG@10 the *n*-gram fields configuration (**RMIT-4**) is significantly improved by Query Expansion, as is FDM (RMIT-3).
- **RMIT-4** has a significantly larger total of NDCG@10 wins over \bigcirc **RMIT-3**, suggesting that the field-based model is better at leveraging Query Expansion to additively improve effectiveness for this situation.

The above table depicts NDCG@10 wins, ties and losses comparing the four submitted systems to their without Query Expansion equivalent, all other configuration settings remain the same. Statistical significance between each pair (Sys. A, Sys. B) is denoted † indicating significance at p = 0.05 and \ddagger indicating significance at p = 0.01. Scores are tied for NDCG@10 $\Delta \pm 0.025$, and ignored in the \sum Win and \sum Loss columns.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council's *Discovery Projects* Scheme (DP170102231), an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship and a grant from the Mozilla Foundation.