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[1] Introduction

- OKSAT submitted 21 runs for NTCIR-13 OpenLiveQ task.
- We submitted from simple to complicate runs.
- Complicate runs are combinations of simple ones.
- We searched the question data mainly because we thought that the question data included the query string or related strings.
- We searched title, snippet and body by the query string, and merged their scores.
- We also took account page view and number of answers.

- We processed field variously which were extracted from the data provided by the task organizer.
- Figure 1 shows the outline of processing flow.
- We explain the name and the sign circled in this figure later.

Figure 1. Outline of processing flow
[3] Target Field of Processing

- From Question Data, we used the following field.
- The five boxes from the left of upper part of the figure.
- We describe the field in order of the field number, the notation in the figure and explanation in the task overview paper.
  - 9: Page view; Page view of the question
  - 8: Number of answers; Number of answers for the question
  - 4: Title; Title of the question
  - 5: Snippet; Snippet of the question in a search result
  - 11: Body; Body of the question
- In addition, it is not written in the figure, we used the following in one run(run2).
  - 7: Update; Last update time of the question
- We used one field, which is written rightmost in the figure, from Clickthrough Data in one run(run10).
  - 4: Clickthrough rate; Clickthrough rate
We began the processing to make runs with the basic processing.
Putting effective basic processing together, we made runs which required complicated processing.
And we were adjusting parameters of the processing.
In this section, we explain the basic processing which is indicated by the sigh (P,A,T,S,K,B,L,M,C) circled and the box contacted with in Figure 1.
P: Maps the Page View expressed with an integer onto the number of 0-1. We call it normalization in order to merge with another score.
A: Similar to P, we normalized the Number of Answers.
T: About the number of searched words to search Title by Question string, we calculated score of the Title in probabilistic model based on Tf-ifd (simplified Okapi BM25).
S: Similar to T, we calculated score of the Snippet.
K: About the length of Snippet, we made the threshold and calculated score by a calculating formula to give priority to a short one over.
B: Similar to T, we calculated score of the Body.
L: Similar to K, we calculated score about the length of Body.
M: We performed morphological analysis of the Query, and made plural search words from each query string which could be divided.
C: Similar to P, we normalized the Clickthrough.

• In addition, it is not written in the figure, but there are the following three basic processing.
N: We extracted nouns by morphological analysis of the title and snippet.
U: Case insensitive search.
Z: Full and half size insensitive search.
[5] How to Make Run

• Using the notation of the target field of processing and the basic processing, we show how to make runs which we submitted.

• We attach the combination of basic processing notation surrounded by [ and ] in the following run's title.

• Table 1 shows the evaluation result (nDCG@10) of offline test for submitted runs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run</th>
<th>nDCG@10</th>
<th>run</th>
<th>nDCG@10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>run0</td>
<td>0.35451</td>
<td>run11</td>
<td>0.33449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run1</td>
<td>0.37083</td>
<td>run12</td>
<td>0.37958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run2</td>
<td>0.29214</td>
<td>run13</td>
<td>0.41960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run3</td>
<td>0.29426</td>
<td>run14</td>
<td>0.24125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run4</td>
<td>0.36388</td>
<td>run15</td>
<td>0.42514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run5</td>
<td>0.30756</td>
<td>run16</td>
<td>0.40094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run6</td>
<td>0.32638</td>
<td>run17</td>
<td>0.43241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run7</td>
<td>0.30427</td>
<td>run18</td>
<td>0.43516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run8</td>
<td>0.33365</td>
<td>run19</td>
<td>0.43767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run9</td>
<td>0.37837</td>
<td>run20</td>
<td>0.44471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run10</td>
<td>0.36669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Evaluation results of offline test
[5.1] Nothing

run0

• Nothing done from Question data.

• We simply extracted Query ID and Question ID from the top to the lower row of Question data.

• By the task overview, Question data is the output of top 1,000 questions retrieved from Yahoo! Chiebukuro by each question.
[5.2] Single Processing

- We explain runs which have single basic processing.

run1 [P]
We sorted the questions in the Question data by the number of the page view of their question.

run2 [U]
We sorted by the last update time (Update) of the questions in the Question data. Newer questions are ranked higher. nDCG@10 is not so good. As last update time of the data is mostly 2016 year and near, the newer one is not so important in this case.

run3 [L]
We sorted questions by the length of the body (Body) of each question in the Question data. The longer questions were ranked higher.

run4 [L]
Inverse order of run3. In other words, the shorter questions were ranked higher. The nDCG@10 is higher than run3. However we thought too short Body is not good, we set threshold length in the next run (run5).
**[5.2] Single Processing - Cnt’d**

**run5 [L]**
Setting 300 byte (100 characters of Japanese full-width character in utf-8 code) as **threshold of the length** of the body, We made the **reciprocal number** of the **square root of the ratio of the length** as score. The nDCG@10 was lower than run4, so we made run7 later.

**run6 [B]**
We counted the **number of times included in the Body** for each **query string**.

**run7 [L]**
This is the **same as run5** except that the threshold of the text length becomes 150byte (300 byte for run5).

**run14 [N]**
We calculated **tf-idf** of each **noun** which was extracted by morphological analysis of the **title and snippet**, and then we added them.
[5.3] Simple Combination of Processing

- We explain runs which have the processing $P$ and/or $L$ plus at most one other processing.
- We also add one run (run11) which has the similar processing only.

run8 [B,L]
We divided the number of times of the string included in Body by the square root of length of Body.

run9 [P,L]
We merged the effect of run1 and run7. We divided the Page view by the square root of length of the Body. We set the threshold of the length of Body for 100byte.

run10 [P,L,C]
We merged the effect of run9 and clickthrough rate of Clickthrough data. Clickthrough data are available for the restricted questions though. We did not use Clickthrough after this run because nDCG@10 of this run is lower than run9.

run11 [T,S,B]
As well as Body, we counted the number of times including the query string about Title and Snippet. We normalized these three numbers from 0 to 1, and then we summed them.
[5.4] Complex Combination of Processing

- We explain runs which have complex combination of basic processing.
- The combination is briefly noted in the title of each run.

run12  [P,T,S,B]
  We normalized Page view and then we added the score of run11.

run13  [P,T,S,B,L]
  We divided score of run12 by the square root of length of Body. The threshold length of the Body was set to 100byte.

run15  [P,T,S,B,L,U]
  This is the same as run13 except that the case insensitive string matches were done.

run16  [P,T,S,B,L,U,Z]
  This is the same as run15 except that we converted full size alphanumeric characters into half size alphanumeric characters.
[5.4] Complex Combination of Processing - Cnt’d

run17  [P,T,S,B,L,U,Z]
    The files were handled in binary until run15, but from run16 files were handled in utf-8. So, we set the threshold of the body length to 30 characters (about 1/3 of 100 byte).

run18  [P,T,S,B,L,U,Z,M]
    When as a result of having performed morphological analysis of the query string, it was divided into plural words, we searched the Title, the Snippet and the Body by those words also.

run19  [P,T,S,B,L,U,Z,M,A]
    We normalized the Number of answers and then we added the score of run18.

    We set threshold of the Snippet length to 200, then we add reciprocal number of the cubic root of the ratio of the Snippet length to run19.
[6] Offline and Online Test

- Online test is assessed for the **top run of each participation group** by multileaving method.
- Our top run of **offline test** was the **best run** of all participants, however, it was **not good under online test**.
- We imagined that the **taste of the judgment** of online test was **different from offline test**.
- We felt that it was **difficult** to show the question list which the user expected **without having the information** about the **taste** of the user.
- So, the **profile of the user** may help to improve the performance of cQA systems if possible.
Conclusions

- Our group OKSAT submitted 21 runs for the NTCIR-13 OpenLiveQ task.
- We submitted from simple to complicate runs.
- Complicate runs are combinations of simple ones in most cases.
- We searched the question data mainly because we thought that the question data included the query string or related strings.
- We searched title, snippet and body by the query string, and merged their scores.
- We also took account page view and number of answers.
- Our top run of offline test was the best run of all participants, however, online test of our top run of offline test was not good.