

# NTCIR-8 Patent Mining Task at Toyohashi University of Technology

Yusuke Suzuki, Hirofumi Nonaka, Akio Kobayashi, Hiroki Sakaji, Hiroyuki Sakai, Shigeru Masuyama

#### 1. Purpose

# We annotate TECHNOLOGY tags and VALUE tags



Fig. 1 Overview of our method

#### 2. Our method

# Annotation of EFFECT tags





Our method for annotation VALUE tags is as follows: Step 1: Collect an expression that is enclosed by VALUE tags and these expressions are candidates of VALUE expressions.

• Step 2: Select an appropriate VALUE expression from the candidates by using entropy-based score.

After annotation VALUE tags, our method for annotation ATTRIBUTE tags is as follows: •Step 1: Extract *bunsetsu*  $W_{ai}$  that appears before a VALUE expression and the word is selected as a candidate for ATTRIBUTE expression Att. •Step 2: Extract *bunsetsu*  $W_{aj}$  that appears before the candidate for ATTRIBUTE expression Att. •Step 3: Add *bunsetsu*  $W_{aj}$  to *Att* when *bunsetsu* includes Japanese particles "の", "を", "が", "や", "お よび", and "及び", otherwise select *Att* as an appropriate ATTRIBUTE expression. Step 4: Repeat Steps 2 and 3

#### Annotation of TECHNOLOGY tags training data set long TECHNOLOGY expressions short TECHNOLOGY expressions Entropy (Score) delimiters for long TECHNOLOGY delimiters for short TECHNOLOGY annotate long TECHNOLOGY tags evaluation data set SVM annotate short TECHNOLOGY tags 🤞 Fig. 3 Flowchart of annotation TECHNOLOGY tags Table 1. Delimiters of long TECHNOLOGY tags Group Delimiters First は、(*ha*,), において、(*nioite*,), ば、(*ba*,) と、(to,), とを(towo), 設け(mouke), 備え Second (sonae), 有し(yushi), 有する(yusuru), more Table 2. Delimiters of short TECHNOLOGY tags Delimiters と(to), とを(towo), 設け(mouke), 備え(sonae), 有し(yushi), 有する(yusuru), 用い(mochii), 介し(kaishi), 含む(fukumu) Extraction of delimiters is as follows: long TECHNOLOGY tags: Entropy (Score) $H(t) = -\sum P(t) \log_2 P(t)$ , t = delimiter $t \in \overline{S(t)}$ short TECHNOLOGY tags: annotated by using SVM

### 3. Results and Discussions

•We achieved high precision on each task, in particular, task of annotating VALUE tags. •Recall of our method is a low value.

•The collection of appropriate delimiters and heuristic rules are non-exhaustive.

Table 3. Comparison of performance	Table 3.	Comparison	of performance
------------------------------------	----------	------------	----------------

Team	Recall	Precision	F-measure
HCU	0.43	0.55	0.48
HTC	0.22	0.38	0.28
ONT	0.21	0.37	0.27
TRL	0.44	0.51	0.47
Our method	0.27	0.55	0.36

# 4. Conclusion

•We annotated TECHNOLOGY and EFFECT tags by using delimiters and machine learning.

 In order to choose a delimiter, we used a score based on entropy.

•We achieved 0.55 precision and 0.27 recall.