UWaterloo: Intent discovery with anchor text

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

John A. Akinyemi and Charles L.A. Clarke

University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada {jakinyem,claclark}@uwaterloo.ca

NTCIR INTENT Task Problem

Input: Query (ambiguous or underspecified)	► Information Retrie	Information Retrieval System		Query intent 1 Query intent 2 Query intent 3 Query intent n
Input	Document Collection	Query Logs		Output

Query Processing

 Segment queries into their unigram and bigram UTF-8 equivalents.

Retrieval Method

 Taking the provided queries as input, retrieval was done using a passage retrieval function [1, 2] rather than a traditional document retrieval function because:
 anchor texts are short compared to an average document

Anchor text clustering

- Our UWat-S-C-2 run takes as input the UWat-S-C-1 run and groups anchor texts having very strong relationships on the documents-anchors graph.
- If two or more anchor text edges are connected to a particular target node, we put all the anchor texts in the same cluster.
- Thereafter, the highest scoring anchor text in each cluster is selected to represent the cluster.
- The selected clusters are ranked based on their scores

In Chinese (SogouT)

Figure 1: The Problem

The goal of the NTCIR INTENT Task [3] is to obtain diverse intents for provided queries from the provided test collection.

Method

- We explored anchor text and anchor link information for discovering diverse query intents.
- An anchor text is considered to have implicit diverse intents if it hyperlinks more than one target document.
- We mined implicit intents using link information between anchor texts and their corresponding target documents.

Corpus Pre-Processing

- Extract anchor text information from corpus.
- Convert the Chinese characters in anchor texts into their UTF-8 equivalent.

size, and

- ◇ Passage retrieval is suitable for short documents.
- Query terms occurrence and their close proximity in an anchor text are incorporated in the passage retrieval scoring function.
- We retrieved anchor texts and their target documents.
- For retrieved target documents having additional anchor text, we further retrieve their additional anchor texts.
- Rank anchor texts.
- ◇ Let t₁, ..., t_n represent an anchor text such that t₁ is the first term in the anchor text and t_n is the last term.
 ◇ Anchor text scoring function takes as input:
- V Anchor text sconing function takes as inpu
- (i) the total number of query terms q_t ,
- (ii) the ratio of the number of unique query terms q_t^u in an anchor text, and
- (iii) the total number of terms in the anchor text *n*.
- ♦ The scoring function is given by:

$$score = |q_t| \cdot rac{q_t^u}{n}$$

and are submitted as our UWat-S-C-2 run.

Submissions

Table 1 shows the official evaluation result of our submissions. In all cases, UWat-S-C-2 outperforms UWat-S-C-1.

 @10
 @30

 UWat-S-C-1
 0.239
 0.324

 UWat-S-C-2
 0.332
 0.494

 Table 1: Result of submissions

Conclusions

- We have demonstrated that anchor text usage for intents discovery is promising.
- The much better performance of our UWat-S-C-2 run against the UWat-S-C-1 run also indicate the utility of anchor links as a reasonable criteria for clustering similar anchor texts and by extension similar documents.
 We envisage that a combination of our method and

• Segment the anchor text UTF-8 representation into

both unigram and bi-gram tokens.

• Index tuples of anchor text tokens where a tuple contains

(source document, anchor text (unigram and bi-gram

UTF-8 character encoding), target document

as a document unit.

• Eliminate duplicate and noisy anchor texts

• Using Equation 1, we rank all retrieved anchor texts

• Ranked anchor texts become our UWat-S-C-1 run.

intent discovery that utilizes user interaction data

extracted from query logs will produce better quality result.

 \diamond We leave this as a future work.

References

(1)

 C. L. A. Clarke, G. V. Cormack, and T. R. Lynam. Exploiting Redundancy in Question Answering. In *SIGIR*, pages 358–365, 2001.
 C. L. A. Clarke and E.L. Terra. Approximating the top-m passages in a parallel question answering system. In *CIKM*, pages 454–462, 2004.
 R. Song, M. Zhang, T. Sakai, M. Kato, Y. Liu, M. Sugimoto, Q. Wang, and N. Orii. Overview of the NTCIR-9 INTENT Task, NTCIR-9 Proceedings. Tokyo, Japan. NII.