

# 構成的アルゴリズム論の基本概念

胡 振江

東京大学 計数工学科

2008 年 12 月 22 日、24 日

Copyright © 2008 Zhenjiang Hu, All Right Reserved.

## The First Exercise Revisited

### The Maximum Segment Sum (mss) Problem

Design an **efficient** and **correct** program to compute the maximum of the sums of all segments of a given sequence of numbers, positive, negative, or zero.

$$\text{mss} [3, 1, -4, 1, 5, -9, 2] = 6$$

## The First Exercise Revisited

### The Maximum Segment Sum (mss) Problem

Design an **efficient** and **correct** program to compute the maximum of the sums of all segments of a given sequence of numbers, positive, negative, or zero.

$$\text{mss} [3, 1, -4, \underline{1, 5}, -9, 2] = 6$$

## The First Exercise Revisited

### The Maximum Segment Sum (mss) Problem

Design an **efficient** and **correct** program to compute the maximum of the sums of all segments of a given sequence of numbers, positive, negative, or zero.

$$\text{mss } [3, 1, -4, \underline{1, 5}, -9, 2] = 6$$

## Reference

R.S. Bird: [Lecture Notes on Constructive Functional Programming](#),  
*Technical Monograph PRG-69*, ISBN 0-902928-51-1, 1988.

# Subject

A **calculus** of functions for deriving programs from their specifications:

# Subject

A **calculus** of functions for deriving programs from their specifications:

- A range of concepts and notations for **defining functions** over various data types (including lists, trees, and arrays);

# Subject

A **calculus** of functions for deriving programs from their specifications:

- A range of concepts and notations for **defining functions** over various data types (including lists, trees, and arrays);
- A set of **algebraic laws** (rules, lemmas, theorems) for manipulating functions;



# Subject

A **calculus** of functions for deriving programs from their specifications:

- A range of concepts and notations for **defining functions** over various data types (including lists, trees, and arrays);
- A set of **algebraic laws** (rules, lemmas, theorems) for manipulating functions;
- A framework for **constructing new calculation rules** to capture principles of programming.

# A Simple Problem

Consider the following simple identity:

$$(a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3) + (a_2 \times a_3) + a_3 + 1 = ((1 \times a_1 + 1) \times a_2 + 1) \times a_3 + 1$$

This equation generalizes in the obvious way to  $n$  variables  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , and we will refer to it as **Horner's rule**.

# A Simple Problem

Consider the following simple identity:

$$(a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3) + (a_2 \times a_3) + a_3 + 1 = ((1 \times a_1 + 1) \times a_2 + 1) \times a_3 + 1$$

This equation generalizes in the obvious way to  $n$  variables  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , and we will refer to it as **Horner's rule**.

- How many  $\times$  are used in each side?

# A Simple Problem

Consider the following simple identity:

$$(a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3) + (a_2 \times a_3) + a_3 + 1 = ((1 \times a_1 + 1) \times a_2 + 1) \times a_3 + 1$$

This equation generalizes in the obvious way to  $n$  variables  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , and we will refer to it as **Horner's rule**.

- How many  $\times$  are used in each side?
- Can we generalize  $\times$  to  $\otimes$ ,  $+$  to  $\oplus$ ? What are the essential constraints for  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$ ?

# A Simple Problem

Consider the following simple identity:

$$(a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3) + (a_2 \times a_3) + a_3 + 1 = ((1 \times a_1 + 1) \times a_2 + 1) \times a_3 + 1$$

This equation generalizes in the obvious way to  $n$  variables  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , and we will refer to it as **Horner's rule**.

- How many  $\times$  are used in each side?
- Can we generalize  $\times$  to  $\otimes$ ,  $+$  to  $\oplus$ ? What are the essential constraints for  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$ ?
- Do you have suitable notation for expressing the Horner's rule concisely?

## Review: Notations on Functions

- A **function**  $f$  that has source type  $\alpha$  and target type  $\beta$  is denoted by

$$f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

We shall say that  $f$  takes arguments in  $\alpha$  and returns results in  $\beta$ .

## Review: Notations on Functions

- A **function**  $f$  that has source type  $\alpha$  and target type  $\beta$  is denoted by

$$f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

We shall say that  $f$  takes arguments in  $\alpha$  and returns results in  $\beta$ .

- **Function application** is written without brackets; thus  $f a$  means  $f(a)$ . Function application is more binding than any other operation, so  $f a \otimes b$  means  $(f a) \otimes b$ .

## Review: Notations on Functions

- A **function**  $f$  that has source type  $\alpha$  and target type  $\beta$  is denoted by

$$f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

We shall say that  $f$  takes arguments in  $\alpha$  and returns results in  $\beta$ .

- **Function application** is written without brackets; thus  $f a$  means  $f(a)$ . Function application is more binding than any other operation, so  $f a \otimes b$  means  $(f a) \otimes b$ .
- Functions are **curried** and applications associates to the left, so  $f a b$  means  $(f a) b$  (sometimes written as  $f_a b$ .)



## Review: Notations on Functions

- A **function**  $f$  that has source type  $\alpha$  and target type  $\beta$  is denoted by

$$f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

We shall say that  $f$  takes arguments in  $\alpha$  and returns results in  $\beta$ .

- **Function application** is written without brackets; thus  $f a$  means  $f(a)$ . Function application is more binding than any other operation, so  $f a \otimes b$  means  $(f a) \otimes b$ .
- Functions are **curried** and applications associates to the left, so  $f a b$  means  $(f a) b$  (sometimes written as  $f_a b$ .)
- **Function composition** is denoted by a centralized dot ( $\cdot$ ). We have

$$(f \cdot g) x = f(g x)$$

## Review: Notations on Functions

- Binary operators will be denoted by  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ ,  $\odot$ , etc. Binary operators can be **sectioned**. This means that  $(\oplus)$ ,  $(a\oplus)$  and  $(\oplus a)$  all denote functions. The definitions are:

$$(\oplus) a b = a \oplus b$$

$$(a\oplus) b = a \oplus b$$

$$(\oplus b) a = a \oplus b$$

## Review: Notations on Functions

- Binary operators will be denoted by  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ ,  $\odot$ , etc. Binary operators can be **sectioned**. This means that  $(\oplus)$ ,  $(a\oplus)$  and  $(\oplus a)$  all denote functions. The definitions are:

$$(\oplus) a b = a \oplus b$$

$$(a\oplus) b = a \oplus b$$

$$(\oplus b) a = a \oplus b$$

**Exercise:** Given  $(\oplus) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ , give the types for  $(a\oplus)$  and  $(\oplus b)$ ?

## Review: Notations on Functions

- Binary operators will be denoted by  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ ,  $\odot$ , etc. Binary operators can be **sectioned**. This means that  $(\oplus)$ ,  $(a\oplus)$  and  $(\oplus a)$  all denote functions. The definitions are:

$$(\oplus) a b = a \oplus b$$

$$(a\oplus) b = a \oplus b$$

$$(\oplus b) a = a \oplus b$$

**Exercise:** Given  $(\oplus) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ , give the types for  $(a\oplus)$  and  $(\oplus b)$ ?

**Exercise:** Show that the following equation states that functional composition is associative.

$$(f \cdot) \cdot (g \cdot) = ((f \cdot g) \cdot)$$

## Review: Notations on Functions

- The identity element of  $\oplus : \alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ , if it exists, will be denoted by  $id_{\oplus}$ . Thus,

$$a \oplus id_{\oplus} = id_{\oplus} \oplus a = a$$

## Review: Notations on Functions

- The identity element of  $\oplus : \alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ , if it exists, will be denoted by  $id_{\oplus}$ . Thus,

$$a \oplus id_{\oplus} = id_{\oplus} \oplus a = a$$

**Exercise:** What is the identity element of functional composition?

## Review: Notations on Functions

- The identity element of  $\oplus : \alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ , if it exists, will be denoted by  $id_{\oplus}$ . Thus,

$$a \oplus id_{\oplus} = id_{\oplus} \oplus a = a$$

**Exercise:** What is the identity element of functional composition?

- The constant values function  $K : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$  is defined by the equation

$$K a b = a$$

# Review: Lists

**Lists** are finite sequence of values of the same type. We use  $[\alpha]$  to denote the type of lists whose elements have type  $\alpha$ , and  $[\alpha]^+$  to denote the type of non-empty lists whose elements have type  $\alpha$ .



# Review: Lists

**Lists** are finite sequence of values of the same type. We use  $[\alpha]$  to denote the type of lists whose elements have type  $\alpha$ , and  $[\alpha]^+$  to denote the type of non-empty lists whose elements have type  $\alpha$ .

- Examples:

$$[1, 2, 1] : [Int]$$
$$[[1], [1, 2], [1, 2, 1]] : [[Int]]$$
$$[] : [\alpha]$$

## Review: Lists

- $[.] : \alpha \rightarrow [\alpha]$  maps elements of  $\alpha$  into singleton lists.

$$[.] a = [a]$$

## Review: Lists

- $[\cdot] : \alpha \rightarrow [\alpha]$  maps elements of  $\alpha$  into singleton lists.

$$[\cdot] a = [a]$$

- The primitive operator on lists is **concatenation**, denoted by  $\text{++}$ .

$$[1] \text{++} [2] \text{++} [1] = [1, 2, 1]$$

## Review: Lists

- $[\cdot] : \alpha \rightarrow [\alpha]$  maps elements of  $\alpha$  into singleton lists.

$$[\cdot] a = [a]$$

- The primitive operator on lists is **concatenation**, denoted by  $++$ .

$$[1] ++ [2] ++ [1] = [1, 2, 1]$$

Concatenation is associative:

$$x ++ (y ++ z) = (x ++ y) ++ z$$

## Review: Lists

- $[\cdot] : \alpha \rightarrow [\alpha]$  maps elements of  $\alpha$  into singleton lists.

$$[\cdot] a = [a]$$

- The primitive operator on lists is **concatenation**, denoted by  $\text{++}$ .

$$[1] \text{++} [2] \text{++} [1] = [1, 2, 1]$$

Concatenation is associative:

$$x \text{++} (y \text{++} z) = (x \text{++} y) \text{++} z$$

**Exercise:** What is the identity for concatenation?

# Algebraic View of Lists

- $([\alpha], ++, [])$  is a **monoid**.
- $([\alpha], ++, [])$  is a **free monoid** generated by  $\alpha$  under the assignment  $[\cdot] : \alpha \rightarrow [\alpha]$ .
- $([\alpha]^+, ++)$  is a **semigroup**.

# Bags and Sets

- A **bag** is a list in which the order of the elements is ignored. Bags are constructed by adding the rule that  $++$  is commutative (as well as associative):

$$x ++ y = y ++ x$$

## Bags and Sets

- A **bag** is a list in which the order of the elements is ignored. Bags are constructed by adding the rule that  $++$  is commutative (as well as associative):

$$x ++ y = y ++ x$$

- A **set** is a bag in which repetitions of elements are ignored. Sets are constructed by adding the rule that  $++$  is idempotent (as well as commutative and associative):

$$x ++ x = x$$



# List Functions as Homomorphisms

A function  $h$  defined in the following form is called **homomorphism**:

$$\begin{aligned} h [] &= id_{\oplus} \\ h [a] &= f a \\ h (x ++ y) &= h x \oplus h y \end{aligned}$$

It defines a **structure-preserving map** from the monoid  $([\alpha], ++, [])$  to the monoid  $(\beta, \oplus: \beta \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta, id_{\oplus}: \beta)$ .

# List Functions as Homomorphisms

A function  $h$  defined in the following form is called **homomorphism**:

$$\begin{aligned} h [] &= id_{\oplus} \\ h [a] &= f a \\ h (x ++ y) &= h x \oplus h y \end{aligned}$$

It defines a **structure-preserving map** from the monoid  $([\alpha], ++, [])$  to the monoid  $(\beta, \oplus: \beta \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta, id_{\oplus}: \beta)$ .

**Property:**  $h$  is **uniquely** determined by  $f$  and  $\oplus$ .

# List Functions as Homomorphisms

**An Example:** the function returning the length of a list.

$$\begin{aligned} \# [] &= 0 \\ \# [a] &= 1 \\ \# (x ++ y) &= \# x + \# y \end{aligned}$$

It is a structure-preserving map from the monoid  $([\alpha], ++, [])$  the monoid  $(\text{Int}, +, 0)$ .

# Map

The operator  $*$  (pronounced **map**) takes a function on its left and a list on its right. Informally, we have

$$f * [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [f a_1, f a_2, \dots, f a_n]$$

# Map

The operator  $*$  (pronounced **map**) takes a function on its left and a list on its right. Informally, we have

$$f * [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [f a_1, f a_2, \dots, f a_n]$$

Formally,  $(f*)$  (or sometimes simply written as  $f*$ ) is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} f * [] &= [] \\ f * [a] &= [f a] \\ f * (x ++ y) &= (f * x) ++ (f * y) \end{aligned}$$

# Map

The operator  $*$  (pronounced **map**) takes a function on its left and a list on its right. Informally, we have

$$f * [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [f a_1, f a_2, \dots, f a_n]$$

Formally,  $(f*)$  (or sometimes simply written as  $f*$ ) is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} f * [] &= [] \\ f * [a] &= [f a] \\ f * (x ++ y) &= (f * x) ++ (f * y) \end{aligned}$$

**Map Distributivity:**  $(f \cdot g)* = (f*) \cdot (g*)$

# Map

The operator  $*$  (pronounced **map**) takes a function on its left and a list on its right. Informally, we have

$$f * [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [f a_1, f a_2, \dots, f a_n]$$

Formally,  $(f*)$  (or sometimes simply written as  $f*$ ) is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} f * [] &= [] \\ f * [a] &= [f a] \\ f * (x ++ y) &= (f * x) ++ (f * y) \end{aligned}$$

**Map Distributivity:**  $(f \cdot g)* = (f*) \cdot (g*)$

**Old Exercise:** Prove the map distributivity.

# Reduce

The operator  $/$  (pronounced **reduce**) takes an **associative** binary operator on its left and a list on its right. Informally, we have

$$\oplus / [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$$



# Reduce

The operator  $/$  (pronounced **reduce**) takes an **associative** binary operator on its left and a list on its right. Informally, we have

$$\oplus/[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$$

Formally,  $\oplus/$  is a homomorphism:

$$\oplus/[] = id_{\oplus}$$

$$\oplus/[a] = a$$

$$\oplus/(x ++ y) = (\oplus/x) \oplus (\oplus/y)$$

# Reduce

The operator  $/$  (pronounced **reduce**) takes an **associative** binary operator on its left and a list on its right. Informally, we have

$$\oplus/[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$$

Formally,  $\oplus/$  is a homomorphism:

$$\oplus/[] = id_{\oplus}$$

$$\oplus/[a] = a$$

$$\oplus/(x ++ y) = (\oplus/x) \oplus (\oplus/y)$$

If  $\oplus$  is commutative as well as associative, then  $\oplus/$  can be applied to bags; and if  $\oplus$  is also idempotent, then  $\oplus/$  can be applied to sets.

# Reduce

## Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathit{max} & : [Int] \rightarrow Int \\ \mathit{max} & = \uparrow / \end{aligned}$$

# Reduce

## Examples:

$$\mathit{max} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{max} = \uparrow /$$

where  $a \uparrow b = \text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a$

# Reduce

## Examples:

$$\mathit{max} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{max} = \uparrow /$$

where  $a \uparrow b = \text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a$

$$\mathit{sum} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{sum} = + /$$

# Reduce

## Examples:

$$\mathit{max} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{max} = \uparrow /$$

where  $a \uparrow b = \text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a$

$$\mathit{sum} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{sum} = + /$$

$$\mathit{head} : [\alpha]^+ \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\mathit{head} = \ll /$$

# Reduce

## Examples:

$$\mathit{max} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{max} = \uparrow /$$

where  $a \uparrow b = \text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a$

$$\mathit{sum} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{sum} = + /$$

$$\mathit{head} : [\alpha]^+ \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\mathit{head} = \ll / \quad \text{where } a \ll b = a$$

# Reduce

## Examples:

$$\mathit{max} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{max} = \uparrow /$$

where  $a \uparrow b = \text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a$

$$\mathit{sum} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{sum} = + /$$

$$\mathit{head} : [\alpha]^+ \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\mathit{head} = \ll / \quad \text{where } a \ll b = a$$

$$\mathit{last} : [\alpha]^+ \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\mathit{last} = \gg /$$



# Reduce

## Examples:

$$\mathit{max} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{max} = \uparrow /$$

where  $a \uparrow b = \text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a$

$$\mathit{sum} : [Int] \rightarrow Int$$

$$\mathit{sum} = + /$$

$$\mathit{head} : [\alpha]^+ \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\mathit{head} = \ll / \quad \text{where } a \ll b = a$$

$$\mathit{last} : [\alpha]^+ \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\mathit{last} = \gg / \quad \text{where } a \gg b = b$$

# The Homomorphism Lemma

## The Homomorphism Lemma

A list function  $h : [A] \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism **if and only if** there exist  $f$  and  $\oplus$  such that the following holds.

$$h = \oplus / \cdot f *$$

# The Homomorphism Lemma

## The Homomorphism Lemma

A list function  $h : [A] \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism **if and only if** there exist  $f$  and  $\oplus$  such that the following holds.

$$h = \oplus / \cdot f^*$$

### Proof

It suffices to prove that  $\oplus / \cdot f^*$  is a homomorphism to  $(B, \oplus, id_{\oplus})$  with  $f$  on a singleton list, because of the uniqueness property of homomorphisms.

# Promotion Rules

The equations defining  $f^*$  and  $\oplus/$  can be expressed as identities between **functions**.

## Empty Rules

$$f^* \cdot K [] = K []$$

$$\oplus/ \cdot K [] = id_{\oplus}$$

## Promotion Rules

The equations defining  $f*$  and  $\oplus/$  can be expressed as identities between **functions**.

### Empty Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f* \cdot K [] &= K [] \\ \oplus/ \cdot K [] &= id_{\oplus} \end{aligned}$$

### One-Point Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f* \cdot [\cdot] &= [\cdot] \cdot f \\ \oplus/ \cdot [\cdot] &= id \end{aligned}$$

## Promotion Rules

The equations defining  $f^*$  and  $\oplus/$  can be expressed as identities between **functions**.

### Empty Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \cdot K [] &= K [] \\ \oplus/ \cdot K [] &= id_{\oplus} \end{aligned}$$

### One-Point Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \cdot [\cdot] &= [\cdot] \cdot f \\ \oplus/ \cdot [\cdot] &= id \end{aligned}$$

### Join Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \cdot \uparrow\uparrow / &= \uparrow\uparrow / \cdot (f^*)^* \\ \oplus/ \cdot \uparrow\uparrow / &= \oplus/ \cdot (\oplus/)^* \end{aligned}$$

## Promotion Rules

The equations defining  $f^*$  and  $\oplus/$  can be expressed as identities between **functions**.

### Empty Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \cdot K [] &= K [] \\ \oplus/ \cdot K [] &= id_{\oplus} \end{aligned}$$

### One-Point Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \cdot [\cdot] &= [\cdot] \cdot f \\ \oplus/ \cdot [\cdot] &= id \end{aligned}$$

### Join Rules

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \cdot ++ / &= ++ / \cdot (f^*)^* \\ \oplus/ \cdot ++ / &= \oplus/ \cdot (\oplus/)^* \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise:** Prove the join rules.

# An Example of Calculation

A composition of two specific homomorphisms is a homomorphism.



# An Example of Calculation

A composition of two specific homomorphisms is a homomorphism.

$$\oplus / \cdot f * \cdot ++ / \cdot g *$$

# An Example of Calculation

A composition of two specific homomorphisms is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \oplus / \cdot f * \cdot \uparrow\uparrow / \cdot g * \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{map promotion} \} \\
 & \oplus / \cdot \uparrow\uparrow / \cdot f * * \cdot g *
 \end{aligned}$$

# An Example of Calculation

A composition of two specific homomorphisms is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \oplus / \cdot f * \cdot ++ / \cdot g * \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{map promotion} \} \\
 & \oplus / \cdot ++ / \cdot f * * \cdot g * \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{reduce promotion} \} \\
 & \oplus / \cdot (\oplus /) * \cdot f * * \cdot g *
 \end{aligned}$$

## An Example of Calculation

A composition of two specific homomorphisms is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} & \oplus / \cdot f * \cdot ++ / \cdot g * \\ = & \quad \{ \text{map promotion} \} \\ & \oplus / \cdot ++ / \cdot f * * \cdot g * \\ = & \quad \{ \text{reduce promotion} \} \\ & \oplus / \cdot (\oplus /) * \cdot f * * \cdot g * \\ = & \quad \{ \text{map distribution} \} \\ & \oplus / \cdot (\oplus / \cdot f * \cdot g) * \end{aligned}$$

## Directed Reductions (Folds)

We introduce two more computation patterns  $\rightarrow_e$  (pronounced **left-to-right reduce**, or simply **left reduce**) and  $\leftarrow_e$  (**right-to-left reduce**, or simply **right reduce**) which are closely related to  $/$ . Informally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus \rightarrow_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= (((e \oplus a_1) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_{n-1}) \oplus a_n \\ \oplus \leftarrow_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus (\dots \oplus (a_n \oplus e))) \end{aligned}$$

## Directed Reductions (Folds)

We introduce two more computation patterns  $\rightarrow_e$  (pronounced **left-to-right reduce**, or simply **left reduce**) and  $\leftarrow_e$  (**right-to-left reduce**, or simply **right reduce**) which are closely related to  $/$ . Informally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\oplus \rightarrow_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= (((e \oplus a_1) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_{n-1}) \oplus a_n \\ \oplus \leftarrow_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus (\dots \oplus (a_n \oplus e)))\end{aligned}$$

Formally, we can define them as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\oplus \rightarrow_e [] &= e \\ \oplus \rightarrow_e (x ++ [a]) &= (\oplus \rightarrow_e x) \oplus a\end{aligned}$$

## Directed Reductions (Folds)

We introduce two more computation patterns  $\not\rightarrow_e$  (pronounced **left-to-right reduce**, or simply **left reduce**) and  $\leftarrow_e$  (**right-to-left reduce**, or simply **right reduce**) which are closely related to  $/$ . Informally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\oplus \not\rightarrow_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= (((e \oplus a_1) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_{n-1}) \oplus a_n \\ \oplus \leftarrow_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus (\dots \oplus (a_n \oplus e)))\end{aligned}$$

Formally, we can define them as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\oplus \not\rightarrow_e [] &= e \\ \oplus \not\rightarrow_e (x ++ [a]) &= (\oplus \not\rightarrow_e x) \oplus a\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\oplus \leftarrow_e [] &= e \\ \oplus \leftarrow_e (a : x) &= a \oplus (\oplus \leftarrow_e x)\end{aligned}$$

# Directed Reductions without Seeds

$$\begin{aligned}\oplus \rightarrow [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= ((a_1 \oplus a_2) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_n \\ \oplus \leftarrow [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus (a_{n-1} \oplus a_n))\end{aligned}$$



# Directed Reductions without Seeds

$$\oplus \nearrow [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = ((a_1 \oplus a_2) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_n$$

$$\oplus \nwarrow [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus (a_{n-1} \oplus a_n))$$

## Properties:

$$(\oplus \nearrow) \cdot ([a] ++ ) = \oplus \nearrow_a$$

$$(\oplus \nwarrow) \cdot ( ++ [a] ) = \oplus \nwarrow_a$$

# An Example of Left Reduce

Consider the right-hand side of Horner's rule:

$$(((1 \times a_1 + 1) \times a_2 + 1) \times \cdots + 1) \times a_n + 1$$

This expression can be expressed by a left-reduce:

$$\odot \rightarrow_1 [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$$

where  $a \odot b = (a \times b) + 1$

## An Example of Left Reduce

Consider the right-hand side of Horner's rule:

$$(((1 \times a_1 + 1) \times a_2 + 1) \times \cdots + 1) \times a_n + 1$$

This expression can be expressed by a left-reduce:

$$\odot \not\rightarrow_1 [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$$

where  $a \odot b = (a \times b) + 1$

**Exercise:** Give a definition of  $\ominus$  such that the following holds.

$$\ominus \not\rightarrow [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = (((a_1 \times a_2 + a_2) \times a_3 + a_3) \times \cdots + a_{n-1}) \times a_n + a_n$$

## Accumulations (Scans)

With each form of directed reduction over lists there corresponds a form of computation called an **accumulation**. These forms are expressed with the operators  $\#$  (pronounced **left accumulate**) and  $\#$  (**right accumulate**) and are defined informally by

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus \#_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= [e, e \oplus a_1, \dots, (((e \oplus a_1) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_n)] \\ \oplus \#_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= [a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus (\dots \oplus (a_n \oplus e))), \dots, a_n \oplus e, e] \end{aligned}$$

## Accumulations (Scans)

With each form of directed reduction over lists there corresponds a form of computation called an **accumulation**. These forms are expressed with the operators  $\#$  (pronounced **left accumulate**) and  $\#$  (**right accumulate**) and are defined informally by

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus \#_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= [e, e \oplus a_1, \dots, (((e \oplus a_1) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_n)] \\ \oplus \#_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= [a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus (\dots \oplus (a_n \oplus e))), \dots, a_n \oplus e, e] \end{aligned}$$

Formally, we can define them as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus \#_e [] &= [e] \\ \oplus \#_e (a : x) &= e : (\oplus \#_e \oplus a x) \end{aligned}$$

## Accumulations (Scans)

With each form of directed reduction over lists there corresponds a form of computation called an **accumulation**. These forms are expressed with the operators  $\#$  (pronounced **left accumulate**) and  $\#$  (**right accumulate**) and are defined informally by

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus \#_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= [e, e \oplus a_1, \dots, (((e \oplus a_1) \oplus \dots) \oplus a_n)] \\ \oplus \#_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= [a_1 \oplus (a_2 \oplus (\dots \oplus (a_n \oplus e))), \dots, a_n \oplus e, e] \end{aligned}$$

Formally, we can define them as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus \#_e [] &= [e] \\ \oplus \#_e (a : x) &= e : (\oplus \#_{e \oplus a} x) \\ \oplus \#_e [] &= [e] \\ \oplus \#_e (x ++ [a]) &= \oplus \#_{a \oplus e} x ++ [e] \end{aligned}$$

# Efficiency in Accumulations

$\oplus \#_e [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ : can be evaluated with  $n - 1$  calculations of  $\oplus$ .

**Exercise:** Consider computation of first  $n + 1$  factorial numbers:  $[0!, 1!, \dots, n!]$ . How many calculations of  $\times$  are required for the following two programs?

①  $\times \#_1 [1, 2, \dots, n]$

②  $fact * [0, 1, 2, \dots, n]$ , where

$$fact\ 0 = 1$$

$$fact\ (k + 1) = k \times fact\ k.$$

# Relation between Reduce and Accumulate

$$\oplus \not\rightarrow_e = \text{last} \cdot \oplus \not\!/\! \rightarrow_e$$



# Relation between Reduce and Accumulate

$$\oplus \mapsto_e = \text{last} \cdot \oplus \not\mapsto_e$$

$$\oplus \not\mapsto_e = \otimes \mapsto [e]$$

$$\text{where } x \otimes a = x \text{ ++ } [\text{last } x \oplus a]$$

## Segments

A list  $y$  is a **segment** of  $x$  if there exists  $u$  and  $v$  such that

$$x = u ++ y ++ v.$$

If  $u = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **initial segment**.

If  $v = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **final segment**.

## Segments

A list  $y$  is a **segment** of  $x$  if there exists  $u$  and  $v$  such that

$$x = u ++ y ++ v.$$

If  $u = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **initial segment**.

If  $v = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **final segment**.

$$\text{segs } [1, 2, 3] = [ [], [1], [1, 2], [2], [1, 2, 3], [2, 3], [3] ]$$

## Segments

A list  $y$  is a **segment** of  $x$  if there exists  $u$  and  $v$  such that

$$x = u ++ y ++ v.$$

If  $u = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **initial segment**.

If  $v = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **final segment**.

$$\text{segs } [1, 2, 3] = [ [], [1], [1, 2], [2], [1, 2, 3], [2, 3], [3] ]$$

**Exercise:** List all initial segments and final segments of  $[1, 2, 3]$ .

## Segments

A list  $y$  is a **segment** of  $x$  if there exists  $u$  and  $v$  such that

$$x = u ++ y ++ v.$$

If  $u = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **initial segment**.

If  $v = []$ , then  $y$  is called an **final segment**.

$$\text{segs } [1, 2, 3] = [ [], [1], [1, 2], [2], [1, 2, 3], [2, 3], [3] ]$$

**Exercise:** List all initial segments and final segments of  $[1, 2, 3]$ .

**Exercise:** How many segments of  $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ ?

## inits

The function `inits` returns the list of initial segments of a list, in increasing order of a list.

$$\mathit{inits} [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [[], [a_1], [a_1, a_2], \dots, [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]]$$

# inits

The function **inits** returns the list of initial segments of a list, in increasing order of a list.

$$\mathit{inits} [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [[], [a_1], [a_1, a_2], \dots, [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]]$$

$$\mathit{inits} = (\text{++} \# \rightarrow []) \cdot [\cdot]^*$$

# tails

The function **tails** returns the list of final segments of a list, in decreasing order of a list.

$$\mathit{tails} [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n], [a_2, a_2, \dots, a_n], \dots, []]$$



# tails

The function **tails** returns the list of final segments of a list, in decreasing order of a list.

$$\mathit{tails} [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n], [a_2, a_2, \dots, a_n], \dots, []]$$

$$\mathit{tails} = (\text{++} \leftarrow \# [] ) \cdot [\cdot]^*$$

## segs

$$\text{segs} = ++ / \cdot \text{tails} * \cdot \text{inits}$$

## segs

$$\text{segs} = ++ / \cdot \text{tails} * \cdot \text{inits}$$

**Exercise:** Show the result of `segs [1, 2]`.

# Accumulation Lemma

$$(\oplus \not\rightarrow_e) = (\oplus \rightarrow_e) * \cdot \mathit{inits}$$

# Accumulation Lemma

$$(\oplus \not\!\!\rightarrow_e) = (\oplus \not\!\!\rightarrow_e) * \cdot \mathit{inits}$$

$$(\oplus \not\!\!\rightarrow) = (\oplus \not\!\!\rightarrow) * \cdot \mathit{inits}^+$$

# Accumulation Lemma

$$(\oplus \not\rightarrow_e) = (\oplus \rightarrow_e) * \cdot \mathit{inits}$$

$$(\oplus \not\rightarrow) = (\oplus \rightarrow) * \cdot \mathit{inits}^+$$

The accumulation lemma is used frequently in the derivation of efficient algorithms for problems about segments. On lists of length  $n$ , evaluation of the LHS requires  $O(n)$  computations involving  $\oplus$ , while the RHS requires  $O(n^2)$  computations.

# The Problem: Revisit

Consider the following simple identity:

$$(a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3) + (a_2 \times a_3) + a_3 + 1 = ((1 \times a_1 + 1) \times a_2 + 1) \times a_3 + 1$$

This equation generalizes in the obvious way to  $n$  variables  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , and we will refer to it as **Horner's rule**.

- Can we generalize  $\times$  to  $\otimes$ ,  $+$  to  $\oplus$ ? What are the essential constraints for  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$ ?
- Do you have suitable notation for expressing the Horner's rule concisely?

# Horner's Rule

The following equation

$$\oplus / \cdot \otimes / * \cdot \text{tails} = \odot \dashv e$$

where

$$e = id_{\otimes}$$

$$a \odot b = (a \otimes b) \oplus e$$

holds, provided that  $\otimes$  distributes (backwards) over  $\oplus$ :

$$(a \oplus b) \otimes c = (a \otimes c) \oplus (b \otimes c)$$

for all  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ .



# Proof of Horner's Rule

The Horner's rule can be proved by the following two steps.

- Show that

$$(a \oplus b) \otimes c = (a \otimes c) \oplus (b \otimes c)$$

if and only if

$$(\otimes c) \cdot \oplus / = \oplus / \cdot (\otimes c) * .$$

## Proof of Horner's Rule

The Horner's rule can be proved by the following two steps.

- Show that

$$(a \oplus b) \otimes c = (a \otimes c) \oplus (b \otimes c)$$

if and only if

$$(\otimes c) \cdot \oplus / = \oplus / \cdot (\otimes c) * .$$

- Show that  $f$  defined by

$$f = \oplus / \cdot \otimes / * \cdot \text{tails}$$

satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} f [] &= e \\ f (x ++ [a]) &= f x \odot a. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of Horner's Rule

The Horner's rule can be proved by the following two steps.

- Show that

$$(a \oplus b) \otimes c = (a \otimes c) \oplus (b \otimes c)$$

if and only if

$$(\otimes c) \cdot \oplus / = \oplus / \cdot (\otimes c) * .$$

- Show that  $f$  defined by

$$f = \oplus / \cdot \otimes / * \cdot \text{tails}$$

satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} f [] &= e \\ f (x ++ [a]) &= f x \odot a. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise:** Prove the correctness of the Horner's rule.

# Generalizations of Horner's Rule

Generalization 1:

$$\oplus / \cdot \otimes / * \cdot \text{tails}^+ = \odot \dashv$$

where

$$a \odot b = (a \otimes b) \oplus b$$

## Generalizations of Horner's Rule

Generalization 1:

$$\oplus / \cdot \otimes / * \cdot \text{tails}^+ = \odot \not\rightarrow$$

where

$$a \odot b = (a \otimes b) \oplus b$$

Generalization 2:

$$\oplus / \cdot (\otimes / \cdot f *) * \cdot \text{tails} = \odot \not\rightarrow_e$$

where

$$e = id_{\otimes}$$

$$a \odot b = (a \otimes f b) \oplus e$$

## Application: MSS

### The Maximum Segment Sum (mss) Problem

Compute the maximum of the sums of all segments of a given sequence of numbers, positive, negative, or zero.

$$\text{mss} [3, 1, -4, 1, 5, -9, 2] = 6$$

## Application: MSS

### The Maximum Segment Sum (mss) Problem

Compute the maximum of the sums of all segments of a given sequence of numbers, positive, negative, or zero.

$$\text{mss} [3, 1, -4, 1, 5, -9, 2] = 6$$

### A Direct Solution

$$\text{mss} = \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \text{segs}$$

**Exercise:** How many steps are required in the above direct solution?

Basic Concepts

List Functions as Homomorphisms

Directed Reductions

Accumulations

Horner's Rule

Application: Maximum Segment Sum Problem

# Calculating a Linear Algorithm



# Calculating a Linear Algorithm

*mss*

# Calculating a Linear Algorithm

$$\begin{array}{l}
 mss \\
 = \quad \{ \text{definition of } mss \} \\
 \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \text{segs}
 \end{array}$$

# Calculating a Linear Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
 & mss \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } mss \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \textit{segs} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \textit{segs} \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot ++ / \cdot \textit{tails} * \cdot \textit{inits}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Calculating a Linear Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
 & mss \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } mss \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \text{segs} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } segs \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot ++ / \cdot \text{tails} * \cdot \text{inits} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{map and reduce promotion} \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot (\uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \text{tails}) * \cdot \text{inits}
 \end{aligned}$$

## Calculating a Linear Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
 & mss \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } mss \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \textit{segs} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \textit{segs} \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot ++ / \cdot \textit{tails} * \cdot \textit{inits} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{map and reduce promotion} \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot (\uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \textit{tails}) * \cdot \textit{inits} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{Horner's rule with } a \odot b = (a + b) \uparrow 0 \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot \odot \nearrow_0 * \cdot \textit{inits}
 \end{aligned}$$

## Calculating a Linear Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
 & mss \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } mss \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \text{segs} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } segs \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot ++ / \cdot \text{tails} * \cdot \text{inits} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{map and reduce promotion} \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot (\uparrow / \cdot + / * \cdot \text{tails}) * \cdot \text{inits} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{Horner's rule with } a \odot b = (a + b) \uparrow 0 \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow_0 * \cdot \text{inits} \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{accumulation lemma} \} \\
 & \uparrow / \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow_0
 \end{aligned}$$

## A Program in Haskell

```
mss = foldl1 (max) . scanl odot 0  
  where a 'odot' b = (a + b) 'max' 0
```

**Exercise:** Code the derived linear algorithm for *mss* in your favorite programming language.

# Segment Decomposition Theorem

The sequence of calculation steps given in the derivation of the *mss* problem arises frequently. The essential idea can be summarized as a general theorem.

## Segment Decomposition Theorem

Suppose  $S$  and  $T$  are defined by

$$S = \oplus / \cdot f * \cdot \text{segs}$$

$$T = \oplus / \cdot f * \cdot \text{tails}$$

If  $T$  can be expressed in the form  $T = h \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow_e$ , then we have

$$S = \oplus / \cdot h * \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow_e$$



# Segment Decomposition Theorem

The sequence of calculation steps given in the derivation of the *mss* problem arises frequently. The essential idea can be summarized as a general theorem.

## Segment Decomposition Theorem

Suppose  $S$  and  $T$  are defined by

$$S = \oplus / \cdot f * \cdot \text{segs}$$

$$T = \oplus / \cdot f * \cdot \text{tails}$$

If  $T$  can be expressed in the form  $T = h \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow_e$ , then we have

$$S = \oplus / \cdot h * \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow_e$$

**Exercise:** Prove the segment decomposition theorem.