構成的アルゴリズム論 Left Reductions

胡 振江

東京大学 計数工学科

2008年2月2日

Copyright © 2009 Zhenjiang Hu, All Right Reserved.

A Problem

Min-Max Problem

Given is a list of lists of numbers. Required is an efficient algorithm for computing the minimum of the maximum numbers in each list. More succinctly, we want to compute

$$minimax = \downarrow / \cdot \uparrow / *$$

as efficiently as possible.

Left Reduction

In

$$\oplus \not\rightarrow_e [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] = (((e \oplus x_1) \oplus x_2) \oplus \dots) \oplus x_n$$

In the monoid view of lists, the formal definition of $\oplus \not\rightarrow_e$ is as follows.

$\oplus \not\rightarrow_e$: A Well-Defined Function

There is an instructive alternative way of seeing that $\oplus \not\rightarrow_e$ is well-defined. Define *h* by

$$\begin{array}{ll} h \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -a \end{bmatrix} &= id \\ h \begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix} &= (\oplus a) \\ h (x + + y) &= h y \cdot h x \end{array}$$

Obviously, *h* is a homomorphism from ([*a*], ++, []) to $(\beta \rightarrow \beta, \cdot, id_{\beta})$. Now we have

$$\oplus \not\to_e x = h x e$$

and so $\oplus \not\rightarrow_e$ is well-defined.

Left Reduction is Important

Every set of equations of the following form

$$f [] = e f (x ++ [a]) = F(a, x, f x)$$

can be defined in terms of a left reduction:

$$f = \pi_2 \cdot \oplus \not\rightarrow_{e'}$$

where

$$e' = ([], e)$$

 $(x, u) \oplus a = (x ++ [a], F(a, x, u))$

Specialization Lemma

Every homomorphism on lists can be expressed as a left (or also a right) reduction. More precisely,

Exercise: Prove the specialization lemma.

Left Reductions and Loops

A left reduction $\oplus \not\rightarrow_e x$ can be translated into the following program in a conventional *imperative* language.

```
|[ var r;
  r := e;
  for b in x
     do r := r oplus b;
  return r
]|
```

Left Zeros

Left reductions require that the argument list be traversed in its entirety. Such a traversal can be cut short if we recognize the possibility that an operator may have *left-zeros*.

Definition: Left Zero

 ω is a left-zero of \oplus if for all a the following holds.

 $\omega \oplus \mathbf{a} = \omega$

Exercise: Prove that if ω is a left-zero of \oplus then

$$\oplus \not\rightarrow_{\omega} x = \omega$$

for all x. (by induction on snoc list x.)

Implementation of Left Reduction with Left-zero Check

From the fact that $\oplus \not\rightarrow_e(x \leftrightarrow y) = \oplus \not\rightarrow_{(\oplus \not\rightarrow_e x)} y$, we have the following program for left-reduction.

```
|[ var r;
  r := e;
  for b in x while not left-zero(r)
     do r := r oplus b;
  return r
]|
```

Minimax

Let us return to the problem of computing

$$minimax = \downarrow / \cdot \uparrow / *$$

efficiently. Using the specialization lemma, we can write

minimax =
$$\odot \not\rightarrow_{\infty}$$

where ∞ is the identity element of $\downarrow\text{,}$ and

$$a \odot x = a \downarrow (\uparrow /x).$$

Exercise: Prove that $-\infty$ is the left-zero of \odot .

Since \downarrow distributes through \uparrow we have

$$a \odot x = a \downarrow (\uparrow /x) = \uparrow /(a \downarrow) * x$$

Using the specialization lemma a second time, we have

$$a \odot x = \bigoplus_a \not\to -\infty x$$

where $b \oplus_a c = b \uparrow (a \downarrow c)$

Exercise: Prove that *a* is the left-zero of \oplus_a .

An Efficient Implementation of minimax xs

```
|[ var a; a := infinity;
  for x in xs while a <> -infinity
      do a := a odot x;
    return a
]|
```

where the assignment a := a odot x can be implemented by the loop:

About the Final Examination

- 時間:2月9日8:30-10:00
- 場所:6号館63号室
- 教科書、講義資料など持ち込み可

● 成績:全体の 70%

ご協力ありがとうございました。